

Guidelines for Authors

The online open-access journal *European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies* | *Revista Europea de Estudios Latinoamericanos y del Caribe* (ERLACS) provides a forum for Latin Americanists who wish to publish in a European journal. ERLACS welcomes articles specifically about Latin America that reflect substantial empirical research and/or are theoretically innovative with respect to major debates within the various fields of the social sciences or to problems of historical interpretation. The articles should appeal to and be comprehensible, to a broad readership. Unnecessary disciplinary jargon and too advanced quantitative methods (such as econometric models) should be avoided.

It will consider papers in English or Spanish that represent original work, not previously published, nor submitted to any other publication at the same time. All articles are subject to independent peer review to determine acceptance for publication. The article will first be screened by the editorial board and then, if it is suitable for our journal, it will be sent to two impartial reviewers who are specialized in the subject handled (double-blind peer review). Since we are dependent upon the evaluation of articles given freely by external reviewers for this journal, we hope that we will have their evaluation within two months, but it often takes longer. The acceptance procedure usually takes around four to six months, depending upon the required revisions. Accepted articles are placed within one year of acceptance.

Preparing your paper:

- All authors should include their full name and affiliation. Please, add also ORCID. A brief biographical paragraph describing the author's current affiliation and research interests, noting one or two recent publications, should accompany the manuscript and a 150-word abstract of the article in Spanish and English. Add 5 keywords both in English and Spanish.
- Articles accepted for publication may be copy-edited for clarity and conciseness.
- Articles should not exceed 8000 words, including notes and references.
- Articles should be submitted in Word. Please do not submit your paper as a PDF.
- Use the font in size 12 with 1,5 spacing.
- Margins should be at least 2,5 cm.
- Limit the use of endnotes.
- We only accept 2-level headings: the first-level heading should be in bold and the second-level heading should be in italics.
- Avoid Latin abbreviations such as e.g., etc., cf., op. cit., i.e., f., ff., passim, ibid.
- We prefer the 'author-date' system inserted in the text and keyed to the list of references, for example: (Klaufus, 2012, pp. 689-705).
- Please keep notes to an absolute minimum.
- Please use the British (-ise) spelling style consistently throughout your manuscript.
- Please use double quotation marks, except where "a quotation is 'within' a quotation".

- Please note that long quotations (more than 60 words) should be indented without quotation marks.
- Figures should be high quality (1200 dpi for line art, 600 dpi for grayscale and 300 dpi for colour, at the correct size).
- Tables should present new information rather than duplicating what is in the text. Please supply editable files.
- Show clearly in your article where the tables and figures should appear.
- Use per cent and not %.
- Include also any funding details and grant-awarding bodies.
- Obtain the necessary permission to reuse third-party material in your article.
- If you want to add acknowledgements, please add them in an independent document.
- A list of references should be given in full, following the notes, in alphabetical order of authors' sur-names, according to the APA citation style, for example:
 - Brablec, D. & Canessa, A. (2023). *Urban Indigeneities: Being Indigenous in the Twenty-First Century*. Tucson: University of Arizona Press.
 - Bretón Solo de Zaldívar, V. (ed.) (2022). *Indianidad evanescente en los Andes de Ecuador*. Quito/Lleida: FLACSO Ecuador / Edicions Universitat de Lleida. Retrieved from https://www.flacso.edu.ec/sites/default/files/2022-01/intro_Indianidad_evanescente.pdf
 - Bull, B. & Rosales, A. (2020). The crisis in Venezuela: Drivers, transitions, and pathways. *European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies / Revista Europea de Estudios Latinoamericanos y del Caribe*, (109), 1-20. DOI: 10.32992/erlacs.10587.
 - Klaufus, C. (2012). The symbolic dimension of mobility: Architecture and social status in Ecuadorian informal settlements, *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 36(4), 689-705.

The section called **Exploraciones/Explorations** is meant as a niche in which new themes are presented and new debates are stimulated. The contributions to this section should comply with normal academic requirements, and more importantly, should be innovative, thought-provoking and pointing toward new directions of research. Thus, contributions should be brief and incisive. They are shorter than regular articles, contain fewer references, and have clear and articulate conclusions (maximum 4000 words including notes and references).

Guidelines for Book Reviewers

Book reviews should be approximately 800-1000 words and not exceed 1200. Reviews should provide a clear and concise description of the book and its thesis, situate the book within the relevant literature, and present its contribution to the field of Latin American Studies. It should speak to the broad and interdisciplinary readership of ERLACS, avoiding discipline-specific jargon. The review should also consider how the book meets its stated objectives and draws on relevant source material. Please, include:

1. Bibliographic details: (*Élites, radicalismo y democracia: Un estudio comparado sobre América Latina*, by Asbel Bohigues, Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas, 2021; *Indianidad evanescente en los Andes de Ecuador*, by Víctor Bretón Solo de Zaldívar, FLACSO Ecuador / Edicions Universitat de Lleida, 2022)
2. A brief description of the contents of the book.
3. An assessment of the author's authority/biases.
4. An evaluation of the strengths and weaknesses of the book taking into account the author's objective/s in writing the book, and drawing on relevant evidence to support the reviewer's judgments.
5. An overall assessment of the book and its contribution to recent academic debates (or studies) on the topic.

Reviews should be 1,5-spaced, in 12-point font.

Upon reception of the review, the book review editors will edit it for clarity, length, and grammar. Minor edits will be returned to the author for your approval. When major revisions are necessary, the editors will return the review with a list of requested changes. Once the editing process is complete, the editorial office will contact you with page proofs.